WELLS ST JOHN PS RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 0 4 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Postal Service at

Facsimile Number 571-273-8300
Date of Deposit: 8/4/200

Date of Deposit: <u>8/4/2005</u>
Typed Name of Person Mailing Raper or Fract Natalia King

Signature:

PATENT APPLICATION
DOCKET NO. 10007843-1

IN THE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S): Pere Obrador et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/023,951

GROUP ART UNIT: 2612

FILED: December 21, 2001

EXAMINER: J. Hannett

SUBJECT:

Remote High Resolution Photography and Video Recording Using a

Streaming Video as a View-Finder

MAIL STOP FEE AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Amendment C

S/N: 10/023,951 PDNO. 10007843-1 Amendment C

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10007843-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s):

Pere Obrador et al.

Confirmation No.: 7670

Application No.: 10/023,951

Examiner: James Hannett

Filing Date:

12/21/2001

Group Art Unit: 2612

Title:

Remote High Resolution Photography and Video Recording Using a Streaming Video as a View-Finder

OIREIVED

AUG 0 8 2005

AUG 0 1 2006

Mail Stop Amendment **Commissioner For Patents** PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG n 4 2005

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

Response/Amendment

() Petition to extend time to respond

New fee as calculated below ()

Supplemental Declaration

No additional fee ()

Other: Interview Summary **(X)**

(fee \$

(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	HIGHEST	4) NUMBER LY PAID FOR	PRES		'	(6) RATE	(7) DITIONAI FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	34 MINUS		NUS 25 =		= .	= 9	× \$50	\$50	\$ 450
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS				0		\$ 0	
[] FIR:	ST PRESENTATION OF A	A MULTIPLE	DEPENDENT	CLAIM			+	\$360	\$ a
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00	2ND MONTH \$450.00		3RD MONTH \$1020.00			1 MOI 590.0		\$ 0
			-			C	THER	R FEES	\$
			TOTAL A	DDITIONAL FE	E FOR	THIS A	MENE	OMENT	\$ 450

to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this 450 application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 10023951 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted, Pere Obrador et al.

James D. Shaurette

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office f

number __(571) 273-8300 Number of pages:

Typed Name: Natalle King

Signature:

Reg. No. 39,833

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Rev 12/04 (TnAmdFex) - Attach as First Page to Transmitted Papers

Telephone No.: (509) 624-4276

PAGE 1/18 * RCVD AT 8/4/2005 6:13:36 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRP-6/29 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:5098383424 * DURATION (mm-ss):05-30

ᄧ 450.00

08/08/2005 HGUTEMA1 00000031

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Postal Service at

Facsimile Number 571-273-8300
Date of Deposit:

Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee: Natalie

Signature:

PATENT APPLICATION DOCKET NO. 10007843-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S): Pere Obrador et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/023,951

GROUP ART UNIT: 2612

FILED: December 21, 2001

EXAMINER: J. Hannett

SUBJECT:

Remote High Resolution Photography and Video Recording Using a

Streaming Video as a View-Finder

MAIL STOP FEE AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450

Interview Summary A

Sir:

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Hannett and Supervisor Thai Tran for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the telephonic interview of July 19, 2005. The Office reiterated its position that col. 2 of Ramasubramanian provides motivational rationale for combining the reference teachings where both references concern communicating video and it would be obvious to include the snapshot function of Ramasubramanian in Mottur without identification of further evidence to support the motivational rationale. The undersigned stated that the differences of the references regarding controlling the web cam of Mottur and accessing previously stored video from a file of Ramasubramanian illustrate the inappropriateness of the combination.

2

The Office reiterated its position set forth in the Action with respect to claim 23 that different slots may be broadly considered to be different channels.

The undersigned and the Office discussed the limitations of claim 28 indicated to be allowable. During the discussions, the Office favorably received limitations of acquiring of a photograph during the original generation of the video and indicated such limitations may be allowed pending further and/or updated search. Additional points discussed are provided in the response which accompanies this interview summary.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

Respectfully submitted, Pere Obrador et al.

D.,

James D. Shaurette

Reg. No. 39,833 Date: 8

(509) 624-4276